

1			
2	RECOVER’s Initial Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan Update		
3	Report	1	
4	RESTORATION COORDINATION AND VERIFICATION (RECOVER).....	1	
5	COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PLAN	1	
6	CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA PROJECT	1	
7	Executive Summary	2	
8	Table of Figures	9	
9	Table of Tables	9	
10	1.0 Introduction	12	
11	2.0 Purpose and Background.....	12	
12	2.1 THE RESTUDY: THAT WAS THEN.....	12	
13	2.2 THE INITIAL CERP UPDATE: THIS IS NOW	14	
14	2.3 NEXT STEPS: WHAT MAY HAPPEN AFTER THE ICU	15	
15	3.0 New Information: updates since the Restudy.....	15	
16	3.1 TOOLS-MODELS AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES	15	
17	3.1.1 South Florida Water Management Model (SFWMM)	16	
18	3.1.2 Natural System Model (NSM).....	16	
19	3.1.3 Lake Okeechobee Water Quality Model (LOWQM).....	16	
20	3.1.4 Performance Measures	17	
21	3.2 DATA-INPUT FOR MODELS.....	17	
22	3.2.1 Climatic Period of Record	17	
23	3.2.2 Topography.....	17	
24	3.2.3 Evapotranspiration	18	
25	3.2.4 Land Use	18	
26	3.2.5 Lake Okeechobee Regulation Schedule	18	
27	3.2.6 Population Projections	18	
28	3.2.7 Municipal and Industrial Water Use Projections.....	19	
29	4.0 Planning Assumption Updates-New Project Conditions	19	
30	4.1 2000 BASE–EXISTING CONDITION	19	
31	4.2 2050 BASE–FUTURE WITHOUT-PROJECT CONDITION	20	
32	4.3 CERP A–FUTURE WITH-PROJECT	20	
33	5.0 Synthesis and Summary of Findings.....	21	
34	5.1 LAKE OKEECHOBEE	21	
35	5.2 ST. LUCIE ESTUARY	22	
36	5.3 LAKE WORTH LAGOON.....	23	
37	5.4 CALOOSAHATCHEE ESTUARY	24	

1	5.5	A.R.M. LOXAHATCHEE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE (INDICATOR REGIONS 100,	
2		101, 102)	25
3	5.6	HOLEY LAND WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AREA (INDICATOR REGION 170)	25
4	5.7	ROTENBERGER WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AREA (INDICATOR REGION 160).....	26
5	5.8	CORBETT WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AREA (INDICATOR REGIONS 150, 151).....	27
6	5.9	WATER CONSERVATION AREA 2 (INDICATOR REGIONS 110, 111, 112, 113)	27
7	5.10	NORTHERN WATER CONSERVATION AREA 3A (INDICATOR REGIONS 190, 115,	
8		116, 117, 114).....	29
9	5.11	EASTERN WATER CONSERVATION AREA 3A (INDICATOR REGIONS 118, 119)	30
10	5.12	CENTRAL AND SOUTH WATER CONSERVATION AREA 3A (INDICATOR REGIONS	
11		120, 121, 122, 123, 124)	31
12	5.13	WATER CONSERVATION AREA 3B AND PENNSUCO (INDICATOR REGIONS 125,	
13		126, 127, 128).....	32
14	5.14	BIG CYPRESS NATIONAL PRESERVE (INDICATOR REGIONS 180, 181, 182, 183)	33
15	5.15	MODEL LANDS MARL MARSH (INDICATOR REGION 146).....	33
16	5.16	SHARK RIVER SLOUGH (INDICATOR REGIONS 129, 130, 131, 132)	34
17	5.17	TAYLOR SLOUGH (INDICATOR REGION 133).....	35
18	5.18	MARL PRAIRIES AND ROCKY GLADES (INDICATOR REGIONS 140, 141, 143, 144,	
19		145, 147, 148).....	36
20	5.19	WATER QUALITY	36
21	5.20	FLORIDA BAY	37
22	5.21	WHITewater BAY	38
23	5.22	BISCAYNE BAY	38
24	5.22.1	<i>Miami River</i>	39
25	5.22.2	<i>Manatee/Barnes Sound</i>	39
26	5.22.3	<i>North Biscayne Bay</i>	39
27	5.22.4	<i>Central Biscayne Bay</i>	39
28	5.22.5	<i>Snake Creek</i>	40
29	5.22.6	<i>South Biscayne Bay</i>	40
30	5.23	LAKE OKEECHOBEE SERVICE AREA.....	40
31	5.24	LOWER EAST COAST SERVICE AREA (LECSA) WATER SUPPLY	41
32	5.25	LECSA SALTWATER INTRUSION	41
33	5.26	LECSA FLOOD CONTROL.....	41
34	5.27	EVERGLADES RAIN DRIVEN OPERATIONS.....	41
35	5.28	TOTAL QUANTITY OF WATER EXPECTED TO BE GENERATED BY THE PLAN	42
36	6.0	Conclusions	44
37		References.....	44
38			
39			
40		Appendix A: Technical Updates.....	47
41	1.0	Model Updates.....	47
42	1.1	SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL (SFWMM).....	47
43	1.2	NATURAL SYSTEM MODEL (NSM)	47

1	1.3	LAKE OKEECHOBEE WATER QUALITY MODEL (LOWQM)	50
2	2.0	New Data and Information	50
3	2.1	CLIMATIC PERIOD OF RECORD	50
4	2.2	TOPOGRAPHY	50
5	2.3	RAINFALL DATA	51
6	2.4	EVAPOTRANSPIRATION	52
7	2.5	LAND USE COVERAGE	52
8	2.6	POPULATION DATA AND PROJECTIONS	56
9	2.7	MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER USE PROJECTIONS	57
10	2.8	UPDATED WATER DEMANDS AND RUNOFF IN THE CALOOSAHATCHEE AND ST.	
11		LUCIE BASINS	57
12	2.9	LAKE OKEECHOBEE REGULATION SCHEDULE.....	57
13	2.10	FISH AND WILDLIFE AND THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES	
14		INFORMATION	58
15	2.11	SEA LEVEL RISE GUIDANCE.....	59
16			
17			
18		Appendix B: Detailed Regional Evaluations	60
19	1.0	Lake Okeechobee	60
20	2.0	Northern Estuaries	62
21	2.1	ST. LUCIE ESTUARY	62
22	2.2	LAKE WORTH LAGOON.....	64
23	2.3	CALOOSAHATCHEE ESTUARY	66
24	3.0	Greater Everglades	69
25	3.1	A.R.M. LOXAHATCHEE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE.....	73
26	3.2	HOLEY LAND WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AREA	76
27	3.3	ROTENBERGER WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AREA	78
28	3.4	CORBETT WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AREA.....	80
29	3.5	WATER CONSERVATION AREA 2.....	81
30	3.6	NORTHERN WATER CONSERVATION AREA 3	86
31	3.7	EASTERN WATER CONSERVATION AREA 3	93
32	3.8	CENTRAL AND SOUTH WATER CONSERVATION AREA 3	97
33	3.9	WATER CONSERVATION AREA 3B AND PENNSUCO	103
34	3.10	BIG CYPRESS	108
35	3.11	MODEL LANDS MARL MARSH	109
36	3.12	SHARK RIVER SLOUGH	111
37	3.13	TAYLOR SLOUGH	116
38	3.14	MARL PRAIRIES/ROCKY GLADES.....	118
39	3.15	SNAIL KITE FORAGING HABITAT SUITABILITY	121
40	3.16	WATER QUALITY	123
41	4.0	Southern Estuaries	131

1	4.1	FLORIDA BAY	131
2	4.2	WHITewater BAY	132
3	4.3	BISCAYNE BAY	134
4	4.3.1	<i>Miami River</i>	134
5	4.3.2	<i>Manatee Bay/Barnes Sound</i>	134
6	4.3.3	<i>South Biscayne Bay</i>	136
7	4.3.4	<i>North Biscayne Bay</i>	138
8	4.3.5	<i>Central Biscayne Bay</i>	138
9	4.3.6	<i>Snake Creek</i>	140
10	4.3.7	<i>Water Quality</i>	140
11	5.0	Water Supply	141
12	5.1	LAKE OKEECHOBEE SERVICE AREA.....	141
13	5.2	LOWER EAST COAST SERVICE AREA (LECSA) WATER SUPPLY	142
14	5.3	LOWER EAST COAST SERVICE AREA (LECSA) SALTWATER INTRUSION.....	143
15	5.4	LOWER EAST COAST SERVICE AREA (LECSA) FLOOD CONTROL	146
16	6.0	Summary.....	147
17		References.....	152
18			
19			
20		Appendix C: Use of NSM as targets for System-wide Performance	
21		Measures.....	154
22	1.0	Introduction	154
23	2.0	Comparison of NSM Sens4 to Historical Information by RECOVER	
24		Members	154
25	3.0	Conclusions	159
26			
27			
28		Appendix D: SFWMM Planning Assumptions.....	160
29	1.0	CERP Existing (2000B3) Condition Assumptions Summary.....	160
30	2.0	CERP Future Without Project (2050B3) Condition Summary	166
31	3.0	CERP Future With Project (CERPA) Condition Summary	171
32	4.0	CERP Future Without Project (50B3S4) Condition Summary.....	172
33			
34			
35		Appendix E: Comparison of Future With and Without Rain-Driven	
36		Operations.....	173

1

2

Table of Figures

3	Figure 1.	The managed South Florida System with the C&SF Project.....	12
4	Figure 2.	CERP Components	13
5	Figure 3.	Comparison of Historic, Current, and CERP flows through the Everglades.....	14
6	Figure 4.	Broward County Sawgrass Expressway. Continued urban development	
7		along the Lower East Coast adjacent to the remaining Everglades.....	19
8	Figure 5.	Great Blue Heron on Lake Okeechobee	21
9	Figure 6.	Tidal flat at St. Lucie Inlet	22
10	Figure 7.	Caloosahatchee Estuary	24
11	Figure 8.	Sawgrass in the northern Everglades	26
12	Figure 9.	Ridge and Slough landscape	28
13	Figure 10.	WCA3 Landscape	29
14	Figure 11.	Existing tree islands in WCA-3	31
15	Figure 12.	Big Cypress National Preserve	33
16	Figure 13.	Shark River Slough.....	34
17	Figure 14.	Taylor Slough.....	35
18	Figure 15.	Stormwater Treatment Area 1W.....	36
19	Figure 16.	Mangrove transition zone in Florida Bay	37
20	Figure 17.	Biscayne Bay	38
21	Figure 18.	City of Miami, located in Lower East Coast Service Area 3.....	41
22	Figure 19.	S-29 Structure controlling water flow to Snake Creek	42
23	Figure 20.	CERP Water Budget for CERPA.....	43
24	Figure 21.	NSMv4.6.2 Sens4 derived as a result of Phases 1 and 2	49
25	Figure 22.	SFWMM v.5.4 Basic Land Use Projections for the 2000B2 Existing	
26		Condition.....	53
27	Figure 23.	SFWMM v.5.4 Basic Land Use Projections for the 2050B2 Future	
28		Without Project Condition	54
29	Figure 24.	NSMv.4.6 Land Cover.....	55
30	Figure 25.	Greater Everglades Indicator Region Map	72
31	Figure 26.	Summary of CERP A Performance in the Greater Everglades.....	130
32	Figure 27.	Comparison of Simulated and Historically Estimated Seasonal Amplitude	
33		in Water Depths for 29 Ridge and Slough Landscape Indicator Regions	155
34			

35

Table of Tables

36	Table 1.	Descriptions of performance for Lake Okeechobee:	61
37	Table 2.	Descriptions of performance for St Lucie Estuary:	62
38	Table 3.	Descriptions of performance for St Lucie Estuary WQ:.....	63
39	Table 4.	Descriptions of performance for Lake Worth Lagoon:.....	64
40	Table 5.	Descriptions of performance for Lake Worth Lagoon WQ:.....	65
41	Table 6.	Descriptions of performance for Caloosahatchee Estuary:.....	66
42	Table 7.	Descriptions of performance for Caloosahatchee Estuary (Proposed):	68
43	Table 8.	Descriptions of performance for Caloosahatchee Estuary WQ	69

1	Table 9.	Summary of Greater Everglades Indicator Region Targets	70
2	Table 10.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for LNWR	74
3	Table 11.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for LNWR	75
4	Table 12.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for Holey Land	76
5	Table 13.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for Holey Land	77
6	Table 14.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for Rotenberger	78
7	Table 15.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for Rotenberger	79
8	Table 16.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for Corbett	80
9	Table 17.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for WCA-2	82
10	Table 18.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for WCA-2	83
11	Table 19.	Descriptions of GE-E6 low water performance in WCA 2	85
12	Table 20.	Descriptions of GE-E6 high water performance in WCA 2	86
13	Table 21.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for northeastern WCA 3A	87
14	Table 22.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for northwestern WCA 3A	87
15	Table 23.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for northeastern WCA-3A	89
16	Table 24.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for northwestern WCA-3A	89
17	Table 25.	Descriptions of GE-E6 low water performance in Northeastern WCA3A	91
18	Table 26.	Descriptions of GE-E6 high water performance in Northeastern WCA3A	91
19	Table 27.	Descriptions of GE-E6 low water performance in Northwestern WCA3A	92
20	Table 28.	Descriptions of GE-E6 high water performance in Northwestern WCA3A	92
21	Table 29.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for Eastern WCA-3A	93
22	Table 30.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for Eastern WCA-3A	95
23	Table 31.	Descriptions of GE-E6 low water performance in Eastern WCA 3A	96
24	Table 32.	Descriptions of GE-E6 high water performance in Eastern WCA 3A	96
25	Table 33.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for central WCA 3A	98
26	Table 34.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for south WCA 3A	98
27	Table 35.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for central WCA-3A	1100
28	Table 36.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for south WCA-3A	100
29	Table 37.	Descriptions of GE-E6 low water performance in Central WCA 3A	102
30	Table 38.	Descriptions of GE-E6 high water performance in Central WCA 3A	102
31	Table 39.	Descriptions of GE-E6 low water performance in Southern WCA 3A	102
32	Table 40.	Descriptions of GE-E6 high water performance in Southern WCA 3A	102
33	Table 41.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for central WCA-3B and Pennsuco	104
34	Table 42.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for WCA-3B and Pennsuco	105
35	Table 43.	Descriptions of GE-E6 low water performance in WCA 3B	107
36	Table 44.	Descriptions of GE-E6 high water performance in WCA 3B	107
37	Table 45.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for Big Cypress	108
38	Table 46.	Descriptions of GE-E2 performance for Model Lands	110
39	Table 47.	Descriptions of GE-E3 performance for Model Lands	111
40	Table 48.	Description of GE-E1 performance: Shark River Slough (ENP)	112
41	Table 49.	Description of GE-E2 performance: Shark River Slough (ENP)	113
42	Table 50.	Description of GE-E3 performance: Shark River Slough (Everglades	
43		National Park)	114
44	Table 51.	Descriptions of GE-E6 low water performance in Everglades National	
45		Park	115

1	Table 52.	Descriptions of GE-E6 high water performance in Everglades National	
2		Park	116
3	Table 53.	Description of GE-E2 performance for Taylor Slough.....	117
4	Table 54.	Description of GE-E3 performance for Taylor Slough.....	117
5	Table 55.	Description of GE-E2 performance for Marl Prairies/ Rocky Glades	118
6	Table 56.	Description of GE-E3 performance for Marl Marshes/ Rocky Glades.....	120
7	Table 57.	Average duration of inundation events in weeks (1965-2000).....	122
8	Table 58.	Description of Performance for STA Bypass Events:	125
9	Table 59.	STA acreages for different planning scenarios	126
10	Table 60.	Description of performance: STA Hydraulic Loading	127
11	Table 61.	Descriptions of performance for Florida Bay:	131
12	Table 62.	Descriptions of performance for Whitewater Bay:	133
13	Table 63.	Descriptions of performance for Biscayne Bay:	134
14	Table 64.	Descriptions of performance for Manatee Bay and Barnes Sound:.....	136
15	Table 65.	Descriptions of performance for South Biscayne Bay:.....	137
16	Table 66.	Descriptions of performance for North Biscayne Bay:.....	138
17	Table 67.	Descriptions of performance for Central Biscayne Bay:	139
18	Table 68.	Descriptions of performance for Snake Creek:.....	140
19	Table 69.	Descriptions of Performance for LOSA:	141
20	Table 70.	C-43 BASIN IRRIGATION SUPPLY/ DEMAND NOT MET	142
21	Table 71.	Descriptions of Performance for LECSA:	142
22	Table 72.	Descriptions of Performance for the number of times the MFL criteria for	
23		the Biscayne Aquifer were not met:.....	144
24	Table 73.	Descriptions of Performance for LEC Saltwater Intrusion in the Biscayne	
25		Aquifer in South Miami-Dade County:	145
26	Table 74.	Descriptions of Performance for Percent of Time Tree Roots are Inundated.....	146
27	Table 75.	Descriptions of Performance for Number of Cells South of Tamiami Trail	
28		with Wet Season Stages Higher than ISOP 2001:	147
29	Table 76.	Summary of CERPA Performance Compared to D13R	148
30	Table 77.	Comparison of D13R and CERPA Performance in relation to Meeting	
31		Restoration Objectives	151
32			